IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) 8:04CR384
Plaintiff,	)
vs.	) MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
JEROME BASS,	)
Defendant.	)

This matter is before the court for initial review of the defendant's Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 to Vacate, Set Aside, or Correct Sentence by a Person in Federal Custody, Filing No. 177. Rule 4(b) of the *Rules Governing Section 2255 Proceedings for the United States District Courts* requires initial review of the defendant's § 2255 motion. Rule 4(b) provides:

Initial Consideration by the Judge. The judge who receives the motion must promptly examine it. If it plainly appears from the motion, any attached exhibits, and the record of prior proceedings that the moving party is not entitled to relief, the judge must dismiss the motion and direct the clerk to notify the moving party. If the motion is not dismissed, the judge must order the United States attorney to file an answer, motion, or other response within a fixed time, or to take other action the judge may order.

The record indicates that a jury found the defendant guilty of Count I of the indictment for conspiracy to distribute and possess cocaine base, in violation of Title 21, U.S.C. §§ 841(a)(1) and 841(b)(1)(B). See Filing No. 96. The court sentenced the defendant to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons for 120 months followed by 5 years supervised release with special conditions. See Filing No. 150, Judgment in Criminal Case.

In his § 2255 motion, the defendant alleges a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel. To assert his claim, the defendant argues that he received ineffective assistance of counsel because his attorney's performance fell below the objective standard of reasonableness the Supreme Court defined in *Strickland*. See <u>Strickland v. Washington</u>, 466 U.S. 668, 690-691 (1984). The defendant bases this argument on five separate counts of his attorney's conduct that he contends fall below *Stickland's* objective standard of reasonableness. See Filing No. 177.

It does not plainly appear from the motion and the record of prior proceedings that the

defendant is not entitled to relief. Therefore, the court will order the United States to respond by

answer to the defendant's claims.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

1. That upon initial review, the court finds that summary dismissal of the defendant's

§ 2255 motion is not required.

2. That within 30 days of the date of this order, the United States shall file an answer

to the defendant's § 2255 motion, supported by a brief.

3. That the defendant shall have 30 days from the filing of the government's answer

and brief to file a reply brief.

4. The court has carefully reviewed the pro se request for relief pursuant to section

2255 and the request for appointment of counsel. Filing No. 177 at 3. The court finds this case

merits appointment of counsel. The Federal Public Defender for the District of Nebraska is

appointed to represent the defendant in this matter. In the event that the Federal Public Defender

accepts this appointment, the Federal Public Defender shall forthwith file a written appearance

in this matter. In the event the Federal Public Defender should decline this appointment for

reason of conflict or on the basis of the Criminal Justice Act Plan, the Federal Public Defender

shall forthwith provide the court with a draft appointment order (CJA Form 20) bearing the name

and other identifying information of the CJA Panel attorney identified in accordance with the

Criminal Justice Act Plan for this district. The Clerk's Office shall forward a copy of this order to

Federal Public Defendant's Office.

DATED this 1st day of April, 2009.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Joseph F. Bataillon

**Chief District Judge** 

2